G. R. S. IX.5]

Previous references.

File No. 3 23

1923

Serial Nos 13 & 19

CB

Later references.

do 15-9-23 (2, 4 & 6)

CB 23-16-1103 (Confl.)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 13 & 19

Part B for Feb. 1924

SUBJECT.

Payments of increments to Municipal Sub Judges etc under the revised scale of pay

To

The Registrar, Chief Court of Sycee

No. 2687 dt. 18/2/24

States that under Note 1 to Art. 80 the Audit Office will withhold authorising payment of increment if an instruction to that effect is given to it in individual cases by the Head of the Deptt. but that no increments of Gazetted officers can however be finally disallowed except under the orders of Govt

WD 1888—GPB—16,826—28-7-21

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C.B. File No. 3 of 1923.

1166

Serial No.	
13	From The Registrar, Chief Court, No. C. 881 R. 3.1.24.

Requests their the authority of withholding increment of Munsiffs & Subordinate Judges may be delegated to the Chief Court or the Comptroller asked to give previous intimation to the Chief Court and take action after receipt of such orders in each case.

The question whether the Audit Office may pass annual increment of Mt. Comm. Munsiffs & Subordinate Judges under the new scale without reference to Govt is before Council.

Submd.
Asst Sec
7/1/24.

This file may be linked to the other.

Ch. Secy
MM A

Coby.
7/1

Yes
9/1

~~Mr Lain & withd~~
It has been recently decided to ~~allow the~~ deal with increments of Munsiffs & Subordinate Judges, as well as Whitley, under Rule 80 Note 1 M.S.R. Under that rule the Depty instructs the Comptr

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 3 of 19 23

1116

Serial No

... the contrary that can possibly
authorise the movements of the
fakirs. But he does not
authorise them to beg to form
such like movements gazetted
spies. This appears obvious
but it seems to me that as
suspicions be ~~pass~~ delegate
the power in respect of municipal
& Cantonment to the Civil Court

H
25/1.

H/ Member

5616.
26/1/24

I agree entirely with above.

The same rule I think should apply to
Municipal & Local purposes as to Police
Cases

Sd/
27/1

Secy)

H
28/1.

agree too.

H
3.1

Please put up a suitable draft
reply.

H
29/1

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

1166

C. B File No. 3 of 19 23.

Serial No.

Draft reply submitted.

Asst 30.1.24

Chief Sec

Draft Subo for approval

Dewan 1st March

5866 31/1

Appd 31/1 Ins 10/2 Private Secy

To

The Registrar, Chief Court, No 2687 S. 19.2.24

States that under note 1 to Art. 80, U.S.R., the Audit Office will withhold authorising payment of increment if an instruction to that effect is given to it in individual cases by the Head of the Deptt, but that no increments of Gazetted Officers can however be finally disallowed except under the orders of Govt

no 2701 S 20.2.24: Copy to Comptroller

B

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1383

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE

Telegraphic Address. "JUSTICE"

Bangalore, dated 3rd January 1924.

C No. 881/23 M.

CB 1166

C B. 3-23-13

FROM

A. SREENIVASARAGAVACHARI, Esq., B.,A., B.L.,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

21541

GEN'L. & REV. SECTT. Br. C.B. 5. JAN. 1924 G. D. SECTION

To K. Matthan Esqr, B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government.
Bangalore.

SIR,

MWA 5/1/24

I am directed to advert to G.O. No: 17-61-C.B. 98-18-3 dated 3rd July 1923 revising the pay of Munsiffs and Subordinate Judges and to Article 80, Mysore Service Regulations and to state as follows:-

Under Article 80 it is laid down that"a periodical increment should not be granted to an officer serving on progressive pay, as a matter of course or unless his conduct has been good". Under note I to the said article the Audit Department is authorised to make payments of increments more or less automatically as they fall due in the absence of instructions to the contrary by the Heads of the Departments concerned (in the case of ministerial or menial officer) or the Government (in the case of others). The authority empowered to with-hold increments in the case of officers other than ministerial or menial, e.g, Munsiffs and Subordinate Judges is the Government.

It may occasionally happen that the work of a Munsiff or Subordinate Judge is found particularly unsatisfactory during a particular period, so as to render it necessary in the interests of efficiency to with-hold or postpone his increment. In such a contingency it will be necessary for the Chief Court to move the Government in each case to take suitable action

If the power in question could be delegated under the last sentence of article 80. it would of course materially strengthen the Chief Court's powers of control and superintendence. Otherwise I am to request that as an alternative the Comptroller may be instructed not to automatically authorise the payments of increments as they fall due but to give previous intimation to the Chief Court, so that necessary action may be taken either to authorise their payments or to with-hold or postpone the same pending orders of Government as the case may be.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M Srinivasengar

for Registrar.

v.A.N.

26174 1887

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE

Bangalore, dated 13th February '24

Telegraphic Address. "JUSTICE

Rec No. 881

CB 1384.

FROM,

A. SREENIVASARAGAVACHARI, ESQ., B.,A., B.L.,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

Br. CB 16 FEB 1924

To

K. Matthan, Esq., B.A.;

Chief Secretary to Government,

Bangalore.

SIR,

I am directed to invite your kind attention to my letter No.C.881/23-24 dated the 25th December 1923 requesting to issue instructions to the Comptroller not to automatically authorise the payments of increments to Munsiffs and Subordinate Judges as they fall due but to give previous intimation to the Chief Court, so that necessary action may be taken either to authorise their payments or to with-hold or postpone the same, pending orders of Government as the case may be, and to request that Government may

D.no 1166 (C.B.Dept)

D.no 1166

The connected papers (C.P 2-25-19) were submitted to first member with a draft reply for approval on 31.1.24.

Signed
19/2/24.

N.B --Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.

1384

Diary No. of letter replied to———

Section I.C.B
File No. 3-2,
Serial No. 19

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. 2687

the *191*

Date of issue 19/1/24

Signed by D.F.C. S. Kulatunga

The Registrar, Chief Court.

Sir,

With reference to your letter no. C. 881/23-24 dated 3rd. Jan. 24 about the grant of annual increments to Mudaliyars & Subordinate Judges under the revised scale of pay, I am directed to state that Govt. do not consider it expedient to delegate the power of withholding increment in respect of these Officers to the Chief Court.

over.

Report have in their letter no

2397 | C.B. 3-23 dated 28th Jan.y 1924

requested the Comptroller ~~to report~~

to follow the procedure indicated

in Art 80 (a) S.R. in regard

to the grant of annual incre-

ments to Asst. Comm.s Munsiffs

Subordinate Judges. I am to add

that under Note 1 to that Article the

Audit Office is authorised Authority is hereby

of increment if any ~~misconduct~~ etc, to take effect as indicated

Case is given to it by the

Head of the Dept. No increments

of gazetted officers can however be withheld

except under the Orders of Govt.

Chief Secy.

30/1/24

27/1/24

27/1

List of enclosures.

27/1/24

Copied by

Examined by